HENRY MCMASTER, CHAIR GOVERNOR

CURTIS M. LOFTIS, JR. STATE TREASURER

RICHARD ECKSTROM, CPA COMPTROLLER GENERAL



HUGH K. LEATHERMAN, SR. CHAIRMAN, SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE
G. MURRELL SMITH, JR. CHAIRMAN, HOUSE WAYS AND MEANS COMMITTEE
GRANT GILLESPIE

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

THE DIVISION OF PROCUREMENT SERVICES

DELBERT H. SINGLETON, JR. DIVISION DIRECTOR (803) 734-8018

MICHAEL B. SPICER
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT OFFICER
(803) 737-0600

FAX: (803) 737-0639

Protest Decision

Matter of: TriTek Fire & Security, LLC

Case No.: 2019-144

Posting Date: May 24, 2019

Contracting Entity: South Carolina Department of Disabilities & Special Needs

Solicitation No.: 5400017543

Description: Agency Wide DDSN Owned Community Facilities Annual Fire Alarm

Testing & Maintenance

DIGEST

Protest of improper bid acceptance is denied for lack of jurisdiction. TriTek Fire & Security's (TFS) protest is included by reference. (Attachment 1)

AUTHORITY

The Chief Procurement Officer¹ (CPO) conducted an administrative review pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. §11-35-4210(4). This decision is based on materials in the procurement file and applicable law and precedents.

¹ The Materials Management Officer delegated the administrative review of this protest to the Chief Procurement Officer for Information Technology.

Protest Decision, page 2 Case No. 2019-144 May 24, 2019

BACKGROUND

Solicitation Issued	03/19/2019
Amendment 1 Issued	04/22/2019
Award Posted	05/15/2019
Protest Received	05/23/2019

ANALYSIS

TFS protests that the solicitation required bids to be submitted in hardcopy form only and alleges that the successful bidder, Fire Control Systems of Charlotte, submitted its bid online instead of in hardcopy form and its bid should have been disqualified.

The total potential value of the award to Fire Control Systems of Charlotte is \$36,300.00. The Consolidated Procurement Code grants actual bidders, offerors, contractors, or subcontractors the right to protest the award or intended award of a contract but Section 11-35-4210(1)(d) limits the right to protest to awards greater than \$50,000:

The rights and remedies granted by subsection (1) and Section 11-35-4410(1)(b) are not available for contracts with an actual or potential value of up to fifty thousand dollars.

Consequently, the Chief Procurement Officer lacks jurisdiction to review the merits TFS' protest.

DECISION

For the reasons stated above, the protest of TriTek Fire & Security, LLC is dismissed for lack of jurisdiction.

For the Materials Management Office

Michael B. Spicer

micha /B Spices

Chief Procurement Officer

TRITEK

Fire, Security & Communications

WWW.TRITEKFIRE.COM

6 Woodcross Drive Columbia, South Carolina 29212 Tel (803) 407-0747 Fax (803) 407-0779 Watts (888) 874-8353 1212 Broad Street Augusta, Georgia 30901 Tel (706) 722-4464 Fax (803) 407-0779 Watts (888) 874-8353

May 23, 2019

To: The Chief Procurement Officer 1201 Main Street, Suite 600 Columbia, SC 29201

Subj: Solicitation #5400017543 Award and Protest

Dear Sirs,

TriTek Fire & Security, LLC has been notified of your intent to award the solicitation #5400017543 DDSN Facilities Fire Alarm Inspection to Fire Control Systems of Charlotte.

TriTek Fire & Security, LLC wishes to formally protest this award based on the following information:

I personally attended not only the bid opening but pre-bid meeting as well. The company attended neither of these dates. I have been informed the Fire Control Systems of Charlotte submitted their bid "online". The solicitation states the front page must be signed and returned with offer. Under "Dead Line for Submission of Offer" states after the bid closing time all offers are rejected unless already delivered to the designated purchasing office or in its associated mail room. There is no mention of any "online" submission process. All of this was covered in the pre-bid meeting which the other contractor failed to attend. It is also very apparent they failed to follow the instructions in the bid package. Due to this we feel we have no other recourse but to protest this award.

Relief Requested. Due to all other offerors attending the bid opening and all bids being disclosed at the opening. The apparent failure of Fire Control Systems of Charlotte to follow the instructions in the bid package as well. TriTek Fire & Security, LLC is requesting the solicitation be awarded to TriTek.

If anyone has any questions please do not hesitate to contact our offices.

Best Regards,

Tom Magill General Manager

TriTek Fire & Security, LLC

STATEMENT OF RIGHT TO FURTHER ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW

Protest Appeal Notice (Revised June 2018)

The South Carolina Procurement Code, in Section 11-35-4210, subsection 6, states:

(6) Finality of Decision. A decision pursuant to subsection (4) is final and conclusive, unless fraudulent or unless a person adversely affected by the decision requests a further administrative review by the Procurement Review Panel pursuant to Section 11-35-4410(1) within ten days of posting of the decision in accordance with subsection (5). The request for review must be directed to the appropriate chief procurement officer, who shall forward the request to the panel or to the Procurement Review Panel, and must be in writing, setting forth the reasons for disagreement with the decision of the appropriate chief procurement officer. The person also may request a hearing before the Procurement Review Panel. The appropriate chief procurement officer and an affected governmental body shall have the opportunity to participate fully in a later review or appeal, administrative or judicial.

Copies of the Panel's decisions and other additional information regarding the protest process is available on the internet at the following web site: http://procurement.sc.gov

FILE BY CLOSE OF BUSINESS: Appeals must be filed by 5:00 PM, the close of business. *Protest of Palmetto Unilect, LLC*, Case No. 2004-6 (dismissing as untimely an appeal emailed prior to 5:00 PM but not received until after 5:00 PM); *Appeal of Pee Dee Regional Transportation Services, et al.*, Case No. 2007-1 (dismissing as untimely an appeal faxed to the CPO at 6:59 PM).

FILING FEE: Pursuant to Proviso 111.1 of the 2018 General Appropriations Act, "[r]equests for administrative review before the South Carolina Procurement Review Panel shall be accompanied by a filing fee of two hundred and fifty dollars (\$250.00), payable to the SC Procurement Review Panel. The panel is authorized to charge the party requesting an administrative review under the South 11-35-4210(6), 11-35-4220(5), Carolina Code 11-35-4230(6) 4410...Withdrawal of an appeal will result in the filing fee being forfeited to the panel. If a party desiring to file an appeal is unable to pay the filing fee because of financial hardship, the party shall submit a completed Request for Filing Fee Waiver form at the same time the request for review is filed. [The Request for Filing Fee Waiver form is attached to this Decision.] If the filing fee is not waived, the party must pay the filing fee within fifteen days of the date of receipt of the order denying waiver of the filing fee. Requests for administrative review will not be accepted unless accompanied by the filing fee or a completed Request for Filing Fee Waiver form at the time of filing." PLEASE MAKE YOUR CHECK PAYABLE TO THE "SC PROCUREMENT REVIEW PANEL."

LEGAL REPRESENTATION: In order to prosecute an appeal before the Panel, business entities organized and registered as corporations, limited liability companies, and limited partnerships must be represented by a lawyer. Failure to obtain counsel will result in dismissal of your appeal. *Protest of Lighting Services*, Case No. 2002-10 (Proc. Rev. Panel Nov. 6, 2002) and *Protest of The Kardon Corporation*, Case No. 2002-13 (Proc. Rev. Panel Jan. 31, 2003); and *Protest of PC&C Enterprises*, *LLC*, Case No. 2012-1 (Proc. Rev. Panel April 2, 2012). However, individuals and those operating as an individual doing business under a trade name may proceed without counsel, if desired.

South Carolina Procurement Review Panel Request for Filing Fee Waiver

1205 Pendleton Street, Suite 367, Columbia, SC 29201

Name of Requestor			Address	
City	State	Zip	Business Phone	
1. What is	your/your comp	any's monthly income?)	
2. What a	re your/your com	pany's monthly expens	es?	
3. List any	other circumsta	nces which you think a	ffect your/your company's ability to pay	the filing fee:
misreprese administra Sworn to l	ent my/my comp tive review be we before me this	oany's financial condit	above is true and accurate. I have made ion. I hereby request that the filing fee	•
Notary Pu	blic of South Ca	rolina	Requestor/Appellant	
My Comn	nission expires: _			
For officia	al use only:	Fee Waived	Waiver Denied	
Chairman	or Vice Chairma	n, SC Procurement Rev	view Panel	
	_ day of	, 20	_	

NOTE: If your filing fee request is denied, you will be expected to pay the filing fee within fifteen (15) days of the date of receipt of the order denying the waiver.